

UNITE	D STATES DEPA	ARTMENT C	F COMMERCE
	States Patent an		
عصافهم	: COMMISSIONES	R FOR PATE	NTS
	P.O. Box 1450		
	Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450	
	MAN WAS SAN		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,127	08/06/2003	Glen D. Traubenkraut	GP-303003	2083	
7590 10/18/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
LESLIE C. HODGES			LE, MARK T		
General Motor Mail Code 482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300			3617		
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/635,127	TRAUBENKRAUT ET A					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .					
	Mark T. Le	3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION P  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutary period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Feiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/23	<b>V04</b> .	·					
<del>,_</del> ,							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	indiawa nom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9). The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail C						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/03.	5) Notice of Informat 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species II, claims 1-12, in the reply filed on August 23, 2004, is acknowledged.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaguroli (US 5,598,785) in view of Sherburne (US 3,140,848).

Zaguroli discloses trolley track rail 12 provided with hanger 10 for connecting to a support; however, Zaguroli does not show the support where the hanger is hanged from.

Art Unit: 3617

Sherburne, Figure 1, shows a hanger provided with fastening means for mounting the hanger to overhead I-beam 4.

In view of Sherburne, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide fastening means to the hanger of Zagurolli for allowing the hanger to be mounted to available overhead I-beams, in a manner similar to that taught by Sherburne, so as to achieve expected advantages thereof, such as quick and easy connections and disconnections.

Regarding the method steps recited in instant claims 8-10, note that the process of forming the structure of Zagurolli, as modified, inherently requires the method steps recited in the instant claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Le whose telephone number is 703-308-3663. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark T. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

mle 10/14/04